UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | ······································ | | <u> </u> | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/512,036 | 06/22/2005 | Heinz Kleinerusskamp | SZY10082P0070 | 2219 |
| | 7590 06/20/2007 JIPS, KATZ, CLARK & | EXAMINER | | |
| 500 W. MADIS | | FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P | | |
| SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1762 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/512,036 | KLEINERUSSKAMP ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | William P. Fletcher III | 1762 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u> | April 2007. | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | ł53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-30 and 33 is/are pending in the appearance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-21 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/2007. | Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/512,036 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment and remarks filed April 2, 2007, are noted with appreciation

2. Claims 16-30 and 33 remain pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 2, 2007, was filed after the mailing date of the first Office action on the merits on April 17, 2006. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

- 4. The objections and rejections set-forth in the prior Office action (04/17/2006) are withdrawn in view of the amendment (04/02/2007).
- 5. New grounds of rejection, necessitated by the amendment, are set-forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the ready solution" in line two of the claim.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,036

Art Unit: 1762

B. Regarding claim 24, the phrase "namely" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the

claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 9. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyanohara et al. (US 4,376,106 A).
 - A. Claim 26, as amended, is now a "product-by-process" claim. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. Once the Examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

- B. In the instant case, claim 26 merely claims a sodium aluminate solution in demineralized water or in a demineralized water/extender mixture.
- C. Miyanohara teaches just such a solution in Example 6. Please see the attached definitions of "demineralization" and "deionizing" as evidence that these terms are synonymous in the art and that Miyanohara's deionized water anticipates this claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 16-21 and 33 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 22-25 and 27-30 would be allowable in their current, dependent form if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: While alkali metal salts are known in the resin-coated paper art as anti-static agents (see US 5,006,451 A), the prior art neither teaches nor suggests their application in solution form during printing with metal effect inks, as claimed.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1762

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. The prompt development of clear issues in the prosecution history requires that applicant's reply to this Office action be fully responsive (MPEP § 714.02). When filing an amendment, applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including new or amended claims (MPEP §§ 714.02 & 2163). A fully responsive reply to this Office action, if it includes new or amended claims, must therefore include an explicit citation (i.e., page number and line number) of that/those portion(s) of the original disclosure which applicant contends support(s) the new or amended limitation(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 0900h-1700h.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,036 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

William Phillip Fletcher III Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 1762

June 18, 2007